

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,867	03/29/2004	Mark G. Hayden	47315.0038	2866
25928 7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER J. KULISH, ESQ HOLLAND & HART LLP P. O. BOX 8749 DENVER, CO 80201-8749			DARNO, PATRICK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/708,867	HAYDEN, MARK G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Patrick A. Darno	2163			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for			•			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 March 2005</u> .					
•—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>18-38</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18-38</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
10)⊠ T A	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 07222006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 2163

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 have been cancelled. Claims 18-38 have been added.

Claims 18-38 are pending in this office action.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 18-38 are rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,732,171 issued to Mark G. Hayden in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,260,145 issued to Masahiro Komura et al. (hereinafter "Komura").

The independent claim from Patent Number 6,732,171 and the independent claim from Application Number 10/708,867 are shown below.

Art Unit: 2163

Patent Number 6,732,171	Application Number 10/708,867	
A system for use in achieving	A system for use in achieving	
distributed data storage over a	distributed data storage over a	
computer network comprising	computer network comprising:	
a storage server system comprising	a storage server system comprising	
one or more storage servers that each	one or more storage servers that each	
comprise a data storage device and a	comprise a data storage device and a	
network interface for communicating	network interface for communicating	
with an application client that will	with one or more application clients	
require data storage and a	that will require data storage and <b>at</b>	
management storage server; and	least one management storage server;	
	and	
a management storage server system	a management server system	
comprising one or more management	comprising one or more management	
storage servers that each comprise a	storage servers that each comprise a	
network interface for communicating	network interface for communicating	
with an application client that will	with an application client and each of	
require data storage and each of said	said storage servers;	
one or more storage servers;		
wherein each of said management	each of said management servers	
storage servers comprises a data	and each of said data storage	
storage configuration identifier whose	servers comprising a data storage	
value is indicative of an allocation of	configuration identifier whose value is	
data storage within said storage server	indicative of an allocation of data	
system at a point in time;	storage within said storage server at a	
	point in time,	
wherein an allocation of data storage	the allocation of data storage within	
within said storage server system	said storage server system	
comprises defining one or more virtual	comprising one or more virtual	

**Art Unit: 2163** 

volumes of data storage distributed volumes of data storage distributed over one or more of said storage over one or more of said storage servers: servers; wherein each of said management wherein each of said management servers is capable of providing a first storage servers is capable of providing a first value for said data storage value for said data storage configuration identifier to an application configuration identifier to an application client and each of the storage client; servers, wherein each of said management and each of said management storage servers is capable of asynchronously storage servers is capable of providing a second value for said data storage providing a second value for said data storage configuration identifier the configuration identifier to each of said application client and to each of said storage servers after there is a change storage servers after there is a change in the allocation of data storage within said storage server system; in the allocation of data storage within said storage server system; wherein each of said storage servers wherein each of said storage servers is capable of: (a) comparing a value for comprises a comparator capable of: (a) said data storage configuration comparing said first value for said data identifier which is associated with a storage configuration identifier which is data storage related request received associated with a data storage related from an application client with said request received from an application client with said second value for said second value for said data storage configuration, and (b) when the values data storage configuration, and (b) are not equal, providing an ignoring said data storage related indication to a management storage request if said first value is not equal to said second value. server that the values are not equal.

Art Unit: 2163

It is clear to see that only minor, obvious differences occur between the independent claims of Patent Number 6,732,171 and Application Number 10/708,867. The Examiner asserts that the two claims above actually represent the same invention.

Specifically, the independent claim of Patent Number 6,732,171 discloses all the elements of the independent claim of Application Number 10/708,867 except for the following limitation: "when the values are not equal, providing an indication to a management storage server that the values are not equal."

However, Komura discloses when values are not equal, providing an indication to a management storage server that the values are not equal (Komura: column 13, lines 7-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Hayden with the teachings of Komura noted above. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to improve the teachings of Hayden per the above such that illegal transactions can be prevented (Komura: column 2, lines 33-38).

Claims 19-38 of Application Number 10/708,867 are also rejected as containing obvious variations of the subject matter in claims 2-17 of Patent Number 6,732,171.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick A. Darno whose telephone number is (571) 272-0788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2163

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PD

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patrick A. Darno

Examiner Art Unit 2163